

# EASTPORT WATER SYSTEM (PWS #1110012) SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT REPORT

---

October 21, 2002



## State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality

**Disclaimer:** This publication has been developed as part of an informational service for the source water assessments of public water systems in Idaho and is based on the data available at the time and the professional judgement of the staff. Although reasonable efforts have been made to present accurate information, no guarantees, including expressed or implied warranties of any kind, are made with respect to this publication by the State of Idaho or any of its agencies, employees, or agents, who also assume no legal responsibility for the accuracy of presentations, comments, or other information in this publication. The assessment is subject to modification if new data is produced.

Under the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, all states are required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assess every source of public drinking water for its relative sensitivity to contaminants regulated by the Act. The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) is completing the assessments for all Idaho public drinking water systems. The assessment for your particular drinking water source is based on a land use inventory within a 1,000 foot radius of your drinking water source, sensitivity factors associated with the source, and characteristics associated with either your aquifer or watershed in which you live.

This report, *Source Water Assessment for Eastport Water System (PWS #1110012)*, located along the Canadian border in Boundary County, Idaho, describes the public drinking water system, the associated potential contaminant sources located within a 1,000 foot boundary around the drinking water source, and the susceptibility (risk) that may be associated with any potential contaminants. This assessment should be used as a planning tool, taken into account with local knowledge and concerns, to develop and implement appropriate protection measures for this system. **The results should not be used as an absolute measure of risk and are not intended to undermine the confidence in your water system.**

The Eastport Water System drinking water system consists of one well that serves a population of approximately 50 people. The well was drilled in 1979 and is 150' deep. The well uses a six-inch casing that is .250" thick. The Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR) *Well Construction Standards Rules (1993)* require all public water systems (PWSs) to follow DEQ standards as well. IDAPA 58.01.08.550 requires that PWSs follow the *Recommended Standards for Water Works (1997)* during construction. Various aspects of the standards can be assessed from well logs. Table 1 of the *Recommended Standards for Water Works (1997)* states that 6-inch steel casing requires a thickness of 0.280 inches. The casing is perforated from 106-116' and sealed to 21' with puddling clay and well cuttings. The casing passes through non-water-bearing and water-bearing layers of sand and gravel before entering a water-bearing layer of green shale. At the time of the last sanitary survey (1999), the wellhead and surface seal had been maintained properly. The well is located outside the 100-year floodplain and is protected from surface runoff. It received a moderate system construction score.

The well was assigned a high hydrologic sensitivity score. The well is located in an area of well-drained soils that lack significant confining layers to retard the vertical transport of contaminants.

There are seven known potential contaminant sites located within the well's source water assessment area. The well was assigned a low potential contaminant/land use score in the microbial category. One of the microbial sites is the Moyie River, which is just 50' from the wellhead. Because of this, the well has been determined to be potentially under the influence of surface water (GWUDI). Temperature and conductivity in both the well and the river must be monitored for at least six months to determine a connection between well water and surface water in the river. The system received moderate potential contaminant/land use scores in the inorganic, volatile organic and synthetic organic chemical categories. This is due to the presence of three fuel storage sites and a major

transportation corridor in the well's source water assessment area. Information regarding all of the potential contaminants within the 1,000-foot boundary have been summarized and included in Table 1.

**Table 1.**

SITE #	Source Description <sup>1</sup>	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants <sup>2</sup>
1	UST	Database Search	VOC, SOC
2	UST	Database Search	VOC, SOC
3	AST	Database Search	VOC, SOC
4	Septic Tank/Drainfield	Enhanced Inventory	IOC, Microbial
5	Cesspool	Enhanced Inventory	IOC, Microbial
6	Surface Water	Enhanced Inventory	Microbial
7	Transportation Corridor	Enhanced Inventory	IOC, VOC, SOC

<sup>1</sup>UST = underground storage tank, AST = above ground storage tank

<sup>2</sup>IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

Eastport Water System samples for total coliform quarterly. The drinking water has not tested positive for total coliform since at least 1998. Nitrate is monitored annually, with measurements ranging from 0- .5mg/L. The maximum contaminant level for nitrate is 10mg/L.

The well received an overall susceptibility ranking of moderate in all chemical categories. A copy of the susceptibility analysis for your system along with a map showing any potential contaminant sources is included with this summary.

**CANAD**

Well #1

Gaging Sta  
Eastport  
(BM 263B)

95

2800

3400

250 0 250 500 750 1000 Feet

**Legend**

Well #1	ECRIS (IN)	WET
Time of Travel Zone	District Mapping Key	Ecological Point
1 Day	Ecology	DATA: Feds IE (IECRA)
2 Day	ECRIS (IN)	Superior Well
3 Day	ECRIS (IN)	Gravel (IN)
4 Day	ECRIS (IN)	Cyanide (IN)
5 Day	ECRIS (IN)	Lead (IN)
6 Day	ECRIS (IN)	Vanadium (Lead, 3 ppb)
7 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
8 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
9 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
10 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
11 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
12 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
13 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
14 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
15 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
16 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
17 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
18 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
19 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
20 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
21 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
22 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
23 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
24 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
25 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
26 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
27 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
28 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
29 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
30 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
31 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
32 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
33 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
34 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
35 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
36 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
37 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
38 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
39 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
40 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
41 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
42 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
43 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
44 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
45 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
46 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
47 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
48 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
49 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
50 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
51 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
52 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
53 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
54 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
55 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
56 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
57 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
58 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
59 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
60 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
61 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
62 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
63 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
64 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
65 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
66 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
67 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
68 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
69 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
70 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
71 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
72 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
73 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
74 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
75 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
76 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
77 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
78 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
79 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
80 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
81 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
82 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
83 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
84 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
85 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
86 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
87 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
88 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
89 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
90 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
91 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
92 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
93 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
94 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
95 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
96 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
97 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
98 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
99 Day	ECRIS (IN)	
100 Day	ECRIS (IN)	

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PWS# 1110012  
Well #1

This assessment should be used as a basis for determining appropriate new protection measures or re-evaluating existing protection efforts. No matter what ranking a source receives, protection is always important. Whether the source is currently located in a “pristine” area or an area with numerous industrial and/or agricultural land uses, the way to ensure good water quality in the future is to act now to protect valuable water supply resources.

Eastport Water System should focus drinking water protection activities on maintaining current water quality. The system’s first priority should be to complete GWUDI monitoring requirements. Instructions regarding this monitoring can be obtained from Mike Nelson of Panhandle Health District in Sandpoint, Idaho. The water system should also develop a drinking water protection plan that addresses public education, management of potential contaminant sites, public education and contingency components. Local residents should be made aware of the location of the well and the location of the well’s source water assessment area. They should be advised of methods for the proper disposal of household hazardous wastes in these areas and of septic system maintenance procedures. The septic tank, drainfield and cesspool located in the well’s source water assessment area should be monitored and maintained regularly to reduce the risk of contamination. Area gas station operators should be advised of best management practices regarding fuel storage to reduce the risk of a leak or spill. The water system should draw up a contingency plan that outlines emergency response activities with special attention paid to spill-response activities because of the well’s proximity to Highway 95, a major transportation corridor. The emergency response plan should also identify an alternative source of water should one become necessary. An outline for the development of an emergency response plan can be found on IDEQ’s website at [www.deq.state.id.us/water/water1.htm](http://www.deq.state.id.us/water/water1.htm). Partnerships with state and local agencies and industry groups should be established and are critical to success. Due to the time involved with the movement of ground water, wellhead protection activities should be aimed at long-term management strategies even though these strategies may not yield results in the near term.

For assistance in developing drinking water protection (formerly wellhead protection) strategies please contact Shantel Aparicio at the Coeur d’Alene regional IDEQ office at (208) 769-1422 or Melinda Harper of Idaho Rural Water Association at 1-800-962-3257.

## **Attachment A**

### Eastport Water System Susceptibility Analysis Worksheet

1. System Construction		SCORE			
Drill Date	4/17/1979				
Driller Log Available	YES				
Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey)	YES	1999			
Well meets IDWR construction standards	NO	1			
Wellhead and surface seal maintained	YES	0			
Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit	NO	2			
Highest production 100 feet below static water level	YES	0			
Well located outside the 100 year flood plain	YES	0			
Total System Construction Score		3			
2. Hydrologic Sensitivity					
Soils are poorly to moderately drained	NO	2			
Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown	YES	1			
Depth to first water > 300 feet	NO	1			
Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness	NO	2			
Total Hydrologic Score		6			
3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A		IOC Score	VOC Score	SOC Score	Microbial Score
Land Use Zone 1A	RANGELAND, WOODLAND, BASALT	0	0	0	0
Farm chemical use high	NO	0	0	0	
IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A		0	0	0	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B					
Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources)	YES	3	4	4	3
(Score = # Sources X 2 ) 8 Points Maximum		6	8	8	6
Sources of Class II or III leachable contaminants or	YES	3	4	4	
4 Points Maximum		3	4	4	
Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area	NO	0	0	0	0
Land use Zone 1B	Less Than 25% Agricultural Land	0	0	0	0
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B		9	12	12	6
Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score		9	12	12	6
4. Final Susceptibility Source Score		11	12	12	11
5. Final Well Ranking		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate

The final scores for the susceptibility analysis were determined using the following formulas:

- 1) VOC/SOC/IOC Final Score =  
Hydrologic Sensitivity + System  
Construction + (Potential  
Contaminant/Land Use x 0.27)
- 2) Microbial Final Score = Hydrologic  
Sensitivity + System Construction +  
(Potential Contaminant/Land Use x  
0.375)

Ground Water Final Susceptibility  
Scoring

0-5 = Low Susceptibility

6-12 = Moderate Susceptibility

> 13 = High Susceptibility



## POTENTIAL CONTAMINANT INVENTORY LIST OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

**AST (Aboveground Storage Tanks)** – Sites with aboveground storage tanks.

**Business Mailing List** – This list contains potential contaminant sites identified through a yellow pages database search of standard industry codes (SIC).

**CERCLIS** – This includes sites considered for listing under the **Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)**. CERCLA, more commonly known as Superfund is designed to clean up hazardous waste sites that are on the national priority list (NPL).

**Cyanide Site** – DEQ permitted and known historical sites/facilities using cyanide.

**Dairy** – Sites included in the primary contaminant source inventory represent those facilities regulated by Idaho State Department of Agriculture (ISDA) and may range from a few head to several thousand head of milking cows.

**Deep Injection Well** – Injection wells regulated under the Idaho Department of Water Resources generally for the disposal of stormwater runoff or agricultural field drainage.

**Enhanced Inventory** – Enhanced inventory locations are potential contaminant source sites added by the water system. These can include new sites not captured during the primary contaminant inventory, or corrected locations for sites not properly located during the primary contaminant inventory. Enhanced inventory sites can also include miscellaneous sites added by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) during the primary contaminant inventory.

**Floodplain** – This is a coverage of the 100-year floodplains.

**Group 1 Sites** – These are sites that show elevated levels of contaminants and are not within the priority one areas.

**Inorganic Priority Area** – Priority one areas where greater than 25% of the wells/springs show constituents higher than primary standards or other health standards.

**Landfill** – Areas of open and closed municipal and non-municipal landfills.

**LUST (Leaking Underground Storage Tank)** – Potential contaminant source sites associated with leaking underground storage tanks as regulated under RCRA.

**Mines and Quarries** – Mines and quarries permitted through the Idaho Department of Lands.)

**Nitrate Priority Area** – Area where greater than 25% of wells/springs show nitrate values above 5mg/l.

**NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System)** – Sites with NPDES permits. The Clean Water Act requires that any discharge of a pollutant to waters of the United States from a point source must be authorized by an NPDES permit.

**Organic Priority Areas** – These are any areas where greater than 25 % of wells/springs show levels greater than 1% of the primary standard or other health standards.

**Recharge Point** – This includes active, proposed, and possible recharge sites on the Snake River Plain.

**RICRIS** – Site regulated under **Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA)**. RCRA is commonly associated with the cradle to grave management approach for generation, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes.

**SARA Tier II (Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act Tier II Facilities)** – These sites store certain types and amounts of hazardous materials and must be identified under the Community Right to Know Act.

**Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)** – The toxic release inventory list was developed as part of the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know (Community Right to Know) Act passed in 1986. The Community Right to Know Act requires the reporting of any release of a chemical found on the TRI list.

**UST (Underground Storage Tank)** – Potential contaminant source sites associated with underground storage tanks regulated as regulated under RCRA.

**Wastewater Land Applications Sites** – These are areas where the land application of municipal or industrial wastewater is permitted by IDEQ.

**Wellheads** – These are drinking water well locations regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act. They are not treated as potential contaminant sources.

**NOTE:** Many of the potential contaminant sources were located using a geocoding program where mailing addresses are used to locate a facility. Field verification of potential contaminant sources is an important element of an enhanced inventory.

Where possible, a list of potential contaminant sites unable to be located with geocoding will be provided to water systems to determine if the potential contaminant sources are located within the source water assessment area.